

r carri lusser i	roject riabitat se			v voodiand ,	Jeru
PMP Farmer ID: PMP Plot number:		Surveyor: Survey date:		Total Score: (A+B+C)	/100
Habitat type: Scru (Go to	o A1) (Go to A2)	Dense Bracken* S a total score plot score of 5 a)
A Ecological Inte	egrity	Total points for Sec	tion A (score for A I or A	A2): Score:	/50
Scrub / Woodland Species: (circle those present) * = Non-native species	Typical scrub species:		Typical woodland species:		
	I Alder	6 Elder	II *Beech	16 *Spruce	
	2 Ash	7 European Gorse	12 Holly	17 *Sycamore	
	3 Birch	8 Hazel	13 Oak	18 Other (list)	
	4 Blackthorn	9 Whitethorn	14 Rowan		
	5 Bramble / Briars	10 Willow	15 Scot's Pine		
A1 For scrub dom	ninated areas:	_	_	_	
A1.1 Describe th	e diversity & struct	ure of the scrub pres	ent:		
Poor Scor Gorse dominated scrub.	Moderate More than one woody species present (see table above). Score: More than two wo species (other than gorse) common throughout plot.		′ 20		
A2 For woodland	dominated areas -	structure & diversit	ty:		
A2.1 Describe th	e canopy layer:				

Poor Native woodland with frequent conifers or frequent non-native (conifer or deciduous) trees present.

Score:

Moderate

Native woodland with very occasional conifers or occasional non-native (conifer or deciduous) trees present.

Score:

25

Good

Native woodland with no conifers or no non-native (conifer or deciduous) trees present.

Score: 30

A2.2 Describe the shrub layer:

Poor Score: Shrub layer absent or consists of non-native species.

Moderate Shrub layer present.

Score:

Good Well developed shrub layer present.

Score: 10

A2.3 Describe the field layer:

Poor The field layer is absent or consists of non-native species.



Moderate Field layer present with low species and structural diversity.

Score: 5

Good

Field layer supports good diversity of native species, with mosses, ferns and herbs present.



Aug 2019 Page I of 2



PMP Farmer ID:

PMP Plot number:

B Hydrological Integrity

Total points for Section B (sum of B1 to B2):

Score:

/30

Contribution to watercourses

Dry site with no natural wet features.



Wet features / seepages zones present (not causing sedimentation to watercourses).



Wet features / seepage zones discharging to natural watercourse (not causing sedimentation to watercourse).



B2 Artificial drainage features within plot

> Widespread drainage Frequent widespread free flowing drains within plot affecting >20% plot.



Moderate drainage Free flowing drains within plot affecting <20% plot.



Poor drainage Score: Drains present but flow is impeded.



No drainage No artificial drainage within plot.



Threats to Site Integrity

Total points for Section C (sum of C1 to C3).

Score:

/20

Bare soil & erosion

High Regularly occurring areas of bare soil

caused by livestock or machinery resulting in erosion. High levels of poaching, evidence of supplementary feeding and excessive areas of bare soil.

Score:



Moderate Regularly occurring areas of bare soil caused by livestock or machinery, but not resulting in erosion. Isolated areas of poaching or bare soil.

Score:



Low Little or no man made bare soil observed and no evidence of erosion or poaching.

Score: 20

C2 Extent of damaging activities If any, list in comments below.

Plot has been recently felled and/ there is presence of current dumping, burning, or other damaging activities.

Score:



Moderate

Some evidence of historic felling. Evidence of past dumping or other damaging activities, which are not currently taking place.

Score:



No evidence of any damaging activities.

Score:

C3 Are there invasive species present in the area?

Circle if present:

Rhododendron

Japanese Knotweed

Himalayan Balsam

Other:

Yes Score:



No Score:



Comments / recommended actions:

Any issues to be brought to the farmer's attention for immediate action or to rectify in the short/medium term:

Aug 2019 Page 2 of 2